Activating hidden metrological usefulness

Géza Tóth^{1,2,3,4}, Tamás Vértesi⁵, Paweł Horodecki^{6,7}, Ryszard Horodecki⁸

 ¹Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Bilbao, Spain
²Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), San Sebastián, Spain
³IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain
⁴Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
⁵Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary
⁶International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies, University of Gdansk, Poland
⁷Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, National Quantum Information Centre, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poland
⁸Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, National Quantum Information Centre, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, University of Gdansk, Poland

> Torun, Poland, 8 September 2021 (online).







Tamás Vértesi Hungary Paweł Horodecki Poland

Ryszard Horodecki Poland

What are entangled states useful for?

- Entanglement is needed for beating the shot-noise limit in quantum metrology.
- Not all entangled states are more useful than separable states.
- Question:
 - Can a quantum state become useful metrologically, if an ancilla or a second copy is added?
 - How to find the local Hamiltonian, for which a quantum state is the most useful compared to separable states?

Metrological gain optimized over all local Hamiltonians

$$g(\varrho) = \max_{\text{local}\mathcal{H}} \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H})} \xleftarrow{\leftarrow \text{ metrological performance of } \varrho}{\leftarrow \text{ best metrological performance of } \text{ separable states}}$$

- A state ρ is useful and also entangled if $g(\rho) > 1$.
- We would like to determine g.
- It is a very important problem in metrology!
- It is difficult, since H appears in both the numerator and the denominator!

Maximization of $\mathcal{F}_{Q}[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]$ over \mathcal{H} is difficult: it is convex in \mathcal{H} .

The maximum over local Hamiltonians can be obtained as

$$\max_{local \ \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{F}_{Q}[\varrho, \mathcal{H}] = \max_{local \ \mathcal{H}} \max_{M} \frac{\langle i[M, \mathcal{H}] \rangle_{\varrho}^{2}}{(\Delta M)^{2}}.$$

Similar idea for optimizing over the state, rather than over \mathcal{H} :

[K. Macieszczak, arXiv:1312.1356; K. Macieszczak, M. Fraas, and R. Demkowicz-Dobrzański, New J. Phys. 16, 113002 (2014); Tóth and Vértesi, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2018).]

See-saw algorithm



The precision cannot get worse with the iteration!

Note that $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ fulfill

 $c_n \mathbb{1} \pm \mathcal{H}_n \geq 0.$

Numerical results for isotropic state

• The 3×3 isotropic state is useful if

$$p < rac{25 - \sqrt{177}}{32} pprox 0.3655.$$

• Then, we have the following results for activation.



	Analytic example	Numerics
Ancilla	0.3752	0.3941
Second copy	0.4164	0.4170



• All bipartite pure entangled states are useful.

 In the infinite copy limit, all bipartite pure entangled states are maximally useful. See:

Géza Tóth, Tamás Vértesi, Paweł Horodecki, Ryszard Horodecki,

Activating hidden metrological usefulness, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 020402 (2020). (open access)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!





lexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation



