

Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states

Quantum 7, 914 (2023)

G. Tóth^{1,2,3,4,5} and J. Pitrik^{5,6,7}



¹University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain

²EHU Quantum Center, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Spain

³Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), San Sebastián, Spain

⁴IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

⁵Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

⁶Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary

⁷Department of Analysis, Budapest University of

Technology and Economics, Budapest, Hungary

DPG Spring meeting, Berlin, 21 March 2024



Outline

1 Motivation

- Connecting Wasserstein distance to entanglement theory

2 Background

- Quantum Wasserstein distance
- Quantum Fisher information

3 Wasserstein distance and separable states

- Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states
- Relation to entanglement conditions

Motivation

- Many distance measures are maximal for orthogonal states.
- Recently, the Wasserstein distance appeared, which is different and this makes it very useful.
- For the quantum case, surprisingly, the self-distance can be nonzero.
- Can we connect these to entanglement theory and/or quantum metrology?

Outline

1

Motivation

- Connecting Wasserstein distance to entanglement theory

2

Background

- Quantum Wasserstein distance
- Quantum Fisher information


3

Wasserstein distance and separable states

- Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states
- Relation to entanglement conditions

An important property of the Wasserstein distance

- Many distance measures are maximal for orthogonal states, e.g., for the following state-pairs.



- In the second example, the two states are further apart from each other, based on common sense.
- Wasserstein distance can recognize this since it is related to the "cost of moving sand from a distribution to the other one."
- It can be used for machine learning.

Quantum Wasserstein distance

- **Definition.**—The square of the distance between two quantum states described by the density matrices ϱ and σ is

$$D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \min_{\varrho_{12}} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{Tr}[(H_n^T \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes H_n)^2 \varrho_{12}],$$

s. t. $\varrho_{12} \in \mathcal{D},$
 $\text{Tr}_2(\varrho_{12}) = \varrho^T,$
 $\text{Tr}_1(\varrho_{12}) = \sigma,$

where \mathcal{D} is the set of density matrices, and H_n are Hermitian matrices.

- Note the relation to the representability problem.

G. De Palma and D. Trevisan,
Quantum optimal transport with quantum channels,
Ann. Henri Poincaré 22, 3199 (2021).

Self-distance can be nonzero (unlike in the classical case)

- The self-distance of a state is

$$D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \varrho)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N I_{\varrho}(H_n),$$

where the Wigner-Yanase skew information is defined as

$$I_{\varrho}(H) = \text{Tr}(H^2 \varrho) - \text{Tr}(H \sqrt{\varrho} H \sqrt{\varrho}).$$

- This connects Wasserstein distance and quantum metrology.

G. De Palma and D. Trevisan,
Quantum optimal transport with quantum channels,
Ann. Henri Poincaré 22, 3199 (2021).


How to compute the Wasserstein distance?

- The distance can be computed by semidefinite programming.
→ This might be the reason that it has appeared recently.
- For a pure ϱ and a mixed σ , the distance is given as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma)^2 \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[(\Delta H_n)_{\varrho}^2 + (\Delta H_n)_{\sigma}^2 + (\langle H_n \rangle_{\varrho} - \langle H_n \rangle_{\sigma})^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

see the following figure, where $(\Delta H_n)^2$ is the variance.

How to compute the Wasserstein distance? II



- $N = 1$, a single operator H_1 is given.
- ϱ is pure, σ is mixed.
- The quantum Wasserstein distance equals $1/\sqrt{2}$ times the usual Euclidean distance between A' and B' .

GT and J. Pitrik,
Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states,
Quantum 7, 914 (2023).

Recent efforts to prove that it is a distance

- For any ϱ, τ , and σ the modified triangle inequality holds

$$D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma) \leq D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \tau) + D_{\text{DPT}}(\tau, \tau) + D_{\text{DPT}}(\tau, \sigma).$$

- Conjecture: a modified version of the quantum optimal transport defined by

$$d(\varrho, \omega) := \sqrt{D_{\text{DPT}}^2(\varrho, \omega) - [D_{\text{DPT}}^2(\varrho, \varrho) + D_{\text{DPT}}^2(\omega, \omega)]/2}.$$

is a metric.

G. De Palma and D. Trevisan, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 22, 3199 (2021).

- Triangle inequality for quantum Wasserstein divergences

$$d(\tau, \varrho) + d(\varrho, \omega) \leq d(\tau, \omega)$$

holds for any mixed τ, ω , any pure ϱ and any quadratic cost + strong numerical evidence for general states.

G. Bunth, J. Pitrik, T. Titkos, and D. Virosztek, *arxiv:2402.13150*.

Outline

1

Motivation

- Connecting Wasserstein distance to entanglement theory

2

Background

- Quantum Wasserstein distance
- Quantum Fisher information


3

Wasserstein distance and separable states

- Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states
- Relation to entanglement conditions

Quantum metrology

- Fundamental task in metrology



- We have to estimate θ in the dynamics

$$U = \exp(-iA\theta).$$

The quantum Fisher information

- Cramér-Rao bound on the precision of parameter estimation

$$(\Delta\theta)^2 \geq \frac{1}{mF_Q[\varrho, A]},$$

where $F_Q[\varrho, A]$ is the **quantum Fisher information**, and m is the number of independent repetitions.

- The quantum Fisher information is

$$F_Q[\varrho, A] = 2 \sum_{k,l} \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2}{\lambda_k + \lambda_l} |\langle k|A|l \rangle|^2,$$

where $\varrho = \sum_k \lambda_k |k\rangle\langle k|$.

Formula based on convex roofs

The quantum Fisher information is the convex roof of the variance times four

$$F_Q[\varrho, A] = 4 \min_{\{p_k, |\psi_k\rangle\}} \sum_k p_k (\Delta A)_{\psi_k}^2,$$

where

$$\varrho = \sum_k p_k |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|.$$

GT, D. Petz, Phys. Rev. A 87, 032324 (2013); S. Yu, arXiv1302.5311 (2013);

GT, I. Apellaniz, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47, 424006 (2014).

Formula based on an optimization in the two-copy space

- Two-copy formulation for the variance

$$(\Delta H)^2_\Psi = \text{Tr}(\Omega|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \otimes |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|),$$

where we define the operator

$$\Omega = H^2 \otimes \mathbb{1} - H \otimes H.$$

We can reformulate the convex roof as

$$\begin{aligned} F_Q[\varrho, H] = \min_{\varrho_{12}} \quad & 4\text{Tr}(\Omega\varrho_{12}), \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & \varrho_{12} \in \mathcal{S}', \\ & \text{Tr}_2(\varrho_{12}) = \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

Here \mathcal{S}' is the set of symmetric separable states.

GT, T. Moroder, and O. Gühne,
Evaluating convex roof entanglement measures,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 160501 (2015).

Outline

1

Motivation

- Connecting Wasserstein distance to entanglement theory

2

Background

- Quantum Wasserstein distance
- Quantum Fisher information

3

Wasserstein distance and separable states

- Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states
- Relation to entanglement conditions

Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states

- **Definition**—We can also define

$$D_{\text{sep}}(\varrho, \sigma)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \min_{\varrho_{12}} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{Tr}[(H_n^T \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes H_n)^2 \varrho_{12}],$$

s. t. $\varrho_{12} \in \mathcal{S},$
 $\text{Tr}_2(\varrho_{12}) = \varrho^T,$
 $\text{Tr}_1(\varrho_{12}) = \sigma,$

where \mathcal{S} is the set of separable states.

GT and J. Pitrik,

Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states,
Quantum 7, 914 (2023).

Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states II

- For two-qubits, it is computable numerically with semidefinite programming.
- For systems of larger dimensions, one can obtain a very good lower bound based on an optimization over states with a positive partial transpose (PPT).
- Even better lower bounds can be obtained.

P. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 232, 333 (1997);

A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996);

A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, Phys. Rev. A 69, 022308 (2004).

Self-distance

- The self-distance for $N = 1$ is

$$D_{\text{sep}}(\varrho, \varrho)^2 = \frac{1}{4} F_Q[\varrho, H_1].$$

- Note that

$$I_{\varrho}(A) \leq \frac{1}{4} F_Q[\varrho, A] \leq (\Delta A)_{\varrho}^2.$$

GT and J. Pitrik,
Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states,
Quantum 7, 914 (2023).

Outline

1 Motivation

- Connecting Wasserstein distance to entanglement theory

2 Background

- Quantum Wasserstein distance
- Quantum Fisher information

3 Wasserstein distance and separable states

- Quantum Wasserstein distance based on an optimization over separable states
- Relation to entanglement conditions

Entanglement of ϱ_{12}

- In general,

$$D_{\text{sep}}(\varrho, \sigma) \geq D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma).$$

- If the relation

$$D_{\text{sep}}(\varrho, \sigma) > D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma)$$

holds, then all the optimal ϱ_{12} couplings for $D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma)$ are entangled.

- Thus, an entangled ϱ_{12} can be cheaper than a separable one.

Comparison of the two types of Wasserstein distance

- Let us consider the distance between two single-qubit mixed states

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\langle 1|_x + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{1}}{2},$$

and


$$\sigma_\phi = e^{-i\frac{\sigma_y}{2}\phi} \varrho e^{+i\frac{\sigma_y}{2}\phi},$$

and

$$H_1 = \sigma_z.$$

$$F_Q[\varrho, \sigma_z]/4 = 0.25 \rightarrow$$

$$I_\varrho(\sigma_z) \approx 0.13 \rightarrow$$



Bounds on the distance

- **Entanglement condition:** Let us choose a set of H_n such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \langle (H_n^T \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes H_n)^2 \rangle \geq \text{const.}$$

holds for separable states.

- E. g., $\{H_n\} = \{j_x, j_y, j_z\}$ and "const." = j .

- If the inequality

$$D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma) < \text{const.}$$

holds, then all optimal ϱ_{12} states for $D_{\text{DPT}}(\varrho, \sigma)$ are entangled.

- Then, we will have a **minimal distance**

$$D_{\text{sep}}(\varrho, \sigma) \geq \text{const.}$$

Summary

- For the quantum Wasserstein distance, we restrict the optimization to separable states.
- Then, the self-distance equals the quantum Fisher information over four.
- We found a fundamental connection from quantum optimal transport to quantum entanglement theory and quantum metrology.

G. Tóth and J. Pitrik, [Quantum 7, 914 \(2023\)](#).

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!
www.gtoth.eu