Practical methods for witnessing genuine multi-qubit entanglement in the vicinity of symmetric states

Géza Tóth^{1,2,3}, Witlef Wieczorek^{4,5}, Roland Krischek^{4,5}, Nikolai Kiesel^{4,5,6}, Patrick Michelberger^{4,5}, and Harald Weinfurter^{4,5}

¹Theoretical Physics, The University of the Basque Country, Bilbao, Spain
 ²IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain
 ³Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, Budapest, Hungary
 ⁴Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Garching, Germany
 ⁵Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Garching, Germany
 ⁶Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information, Vienna, Austria

DPG March Meeting, Hannover, March 9, 2010

O Motivation

Basic methods for designing witnesses

• Witnesses for the symmetric Dicke state

Summary

Motivation

Basic methods for designing witnesses

• Witnesses for the symmetric Dicke state

Summary

- In many quantum experiments only local measurements are possible.
- We still would like to detect entanglement with few measurements.
- Entanglement detection schemes for cluster states and graph states are already available.

GT and O. ühne, PRL and PRA 2005; N. Kiesel, C. Schmid, U. Weber, GT, O. Gühne, R. Ursin, and H. Weinfurter PRL 2005.

• We would like to develop methods for symmetric states, as such states appear often in many-qubit experiments.

G. Tóth, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2007; N. Kiesel, C. Schmid, G. Tóth, E. Solano, and H. Weinfurter, PRL 2007.

O Motivation

2 Basic methods for designing witnesses

• Witnesses for the symmetric Dicke state

Summary

• A pure multi-qubit quantum state is called biseparable if it can be written as the tensor product of two multi-qubit states

$$|\Psi
angle = |\Psi_1
angle \otimes |\Psi_2
angle.$$

Here $|\Psi\rangle$ is an *N*-qubit state.

- A mixed state is called biseparable, if it can be obtained by mixing pure biseparable states.
- If a state is not biseparable then it is called genuine multi-partite entangled.

• A state mixed with white noise is given as

$$\rho(p_{\text{noise}}) = (1 - p_{\text{noise}})\rho + p_{\text{noise}}\rho_{\text{noise}}$$

where ρ_{noise} is the ratio of noise and ρ_{noise} is the noise. If we consider white noise then $\rho_{\text{noise}} = \mathbb{1}/2^N$.

• The noise tolerance of a witness \mathscr{W} is characterized by the largest p_{noise} for which we still have $\text{Tr}(\mathscr{W}\rho) < 0$.

- A single local measurement setting is the basic unit of experimental effort.
- A local setting means measuring operator $A^{(k)}$ at qubit k for all qubits.

$$A^{(1)}$$
 $A^{(2)}$ $A^{(3)}$ $A^{(4)}$ $A^{(5)}$... $A^{(N)}$

• All two-qubit, three-qubit correlations, etc. can be obtained.

 $\langle A^{(1)}A^{(2)}\rangle, \langle A^{(1)}A^{(3)}\rangle, \langle A^{(1)}A^{(2)}A^{(3)}\rangle...$

Three methods for designing witnesses:

- Projector witness, i.e., witness defined with the projector to a highly entangled quantum state
- Witness based on the projector witness
- Witness independent of the projector witness

Projector-based witness

• A witness detecting genuine multi-qubit entanglement in the vicinity of a pure state $|\Psi\rangle$ is

$$\mathscr{W}^{(P)}_{\Psi} := \lambda_{\Psi}^2 \mathbb{1} - |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|,$$

where λ is the maximum of the Schmidt coefficients for $|\Psi\rangle$, when all bipartitions are considered. M. Bourennane, M. Eibl, C. Kurtsiefer, S. Gaertner, H. Weinfurter, O. Gühne, P. Hyllus, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, and A. Sanpera, PRL 2004.

• A symmetric witness operator can always be decomposed as

$$P=\sum c_kA_k\otimes A_k\otimes A_k\otimes \ldots\otimes A_k.$$

- G. Tóth, W. Wieczorek, R. Krischek, N. Kiesel, P. Michelberger, and H. Weinfurter, NJP 2009.
- For symmetric operators, the number of settings needed is increasing polynomially with the number of qubits.
 G. Töth, W. Wieczorek, R. Krischek, N. Kiesel, P. Michelberger, and H. Weinfurter, NJP 2009.

Witnesses based on the projector witness

- We construct witnesses that are easier to measure than the projector witness.
- Idea: If $\mathscr{W}^{(P)}$ is the projector witness and

$$\mathscr{W} - \alpha \mathscr{W}^{(P)} \ge 0$$

is fulfilled for some $\alpha > 0$, then \mathscr{W} is also a witness. Similar idea for cluster states: GT and O. Gühne, PRL and PRA 2005.

- Hence, $\langle \mathscr{W} \rangle$ can be used to fidelity estimation. GT and O. Gühne, PRL and PRA 2005.
- We look for the optimal witness \mathscr{W} with the largest tolerance to noise possible.
- This has been done analitically for cluster states. Now we use semidefinite programming.
 GT, W. Wieczorek, R. Krischek, N. Kiesel, P. Michelberger, and H. Weinfurter, NJP 2009.

- Witnesses without any relation to the projector witness.
- With an easily measurable operator *M*, we make a witness of the form

$$\mathscr{W}:=c\mathbb{1}-M,$$

where *c* is some constant.

• We have to set c to

$$c = \max_{|\Psi
angle \in \mathscr{B}} \langle M
angle_{|\Psi
angle},$$

where \mathscr{B} is the set of biseparable states.

- Simple numerical optimization may not find the global maximum.
- We can look for the maximum for states that have a positive partial transpose

$$c' = \max_{I} \max_{\rho \ge 0, \rho^{T_I} \ge 0} \langle M \rangle_{\rho},$$

for which $c' \geq c$.

This can be done with semidefinite programing.
 A.C. Doherty, P.Parrilo, and F.M. Spedalieri, PRA 2005.

O Motivation

Basic methods for designing witnesses

Witnesses for the symmetric Dicke state

Summary

• The symmetric Dicke states are defined as

$$|D_N^{(m)}\rangle := {\binom{N}{m}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_k \mathscr{P}_k(|1_1, 1_2, ..., 1_m, 0_{m+1}, ..., 0_N)\rangle,$$

where the summation is over all different permutations.

• For the states considered in our work, projector-based witnesses are given by

$$\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{N},\mathrm{N}/2)}^{(P)} := \frac{1}{2} \frac{N}{N-1} \mathbb{1} - |D_N^{(N/2)}\rangle \langle D_N^{(N/2)}|,$$

G. Tóth, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2007.

$$\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{N},1)}^{(P)} := \frac{N-1}{N} \mathbb{I} - |D_N^{(1)}\rangle \langle D_N^{(1)}|.$$

Witnesses for the Dicke state: Projector II

• The decomposition of the projector is

$$\begin{aligned} 64|D_6^{(3)}\rangle\langle D_6^{(3)}| &= -0.6[1] + 0.3[x \pm 1] - 0.6[x] + 0.3[y \pm 1] - 0.6[y] \\ &+ 0.2[z \pm 1] - 0.2[z] + 0.2Mermin_{0,z} \\ &+ 0.05[x \pm y \pm 1] - 0.05[x \pm z \pm 1] - 0.05[y \pm z \pm 1] \\ &- 0.05[x \pm y \pm z] + 0.2[x \pm z] + 0.2[y \pm z] + 0.1[x \pm y] \\ &+ 0.6Mermin_{x,z} + 0.6Mermin_{y,z}. \end{aligned}$$

W. Wieczorek et. al, PRL 2009. Another decompositon: Prevedel et al., PRL 2009.

- Notation: $[x+y] = (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)^{\otimes 6}, \ [x+y+1] = (\sigma_x + \sigma_y + 1)^{\otimes 6}, \$ etc.
- The ± sign denotes a summation over the two signs, i.e., [x ± y] = [x + y] + [x − y].
- 21 settings are needed to measure the projector based on this decomosition.

Witnesses for the Dicke state: Projector III



Figure: Measurement settings for projectors to the the (a) four-qubit and (b) six-qubit Dicke states

Witnesses for the Dicke state: Projector-based

- We look for the witness ${\mathscr W}$ with the largest noise tolerance that fulfills:
- W is a linear combination of certain basis operators B_k, that is,
 W = ∑_k c_kB_k,
 W = α^W(P) ≥ 0 with some α ≥ 0
- $\ \, \textcircled{M} \alpha \mathscr{W}^{(P)}_{\mathrm{D}(6,3)} \geq 0 \ \text{with some } \alpha > 0. \qquad \qquad [\mathsf{GT} \text{ and } \mathsf{O}, \mathsf{G\"{u}hne}, \mathsf{PRL} \text{ and } \mathsf{PRA} \text{ 2005}]$
 - Optimal c_k 's come from semidefinite programming.
 - For the two-setting case, we set $\{B_k\} = \{\mathbb{1}, J_x^2, J_y^2, J_x^4, J_y^4, J_y^6, J_y^6\}.$

• For the three-setting case, we set $\{B_k\} = \{\mathbb{1}, J_x^2, J_y^2, J_z^2, J_x^4, J_y^4, J_z^4, J_x^6, J_y^6, J_z^6\}.$

• For symmetric Dicke states, a general form a witness is

$$\mathscr{W}_{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{N},\mathrm{m})}^{(I3)} := c_q - (J_x^2 + J_y^2) + q(J_z - \langle J_z \rangle_{|D_N^{(m)}\rangle})^2,$$

where c_q and q are constants.

	State	Witness	Number of settings	Noise tolerance
		Projector	21	0.4063
6-qubit	$D_{6}^{(3)}$	Projector-based	3	0.2735
		Projector-based	2	0.1391
		Independent	2	0.1091
5-qubit	$D_{5}^{(2)}$	Independent	2	0.1046
	W	Projector	7	0.2667
4-qubit		Independent	3	0.1476
	$D_4^{(2)}$	Projector	9	0.3556
		Projector-based	2	0.2759

Table: List of witnesses

Summary

- We discussed practical methods for constructing entanglement witnesses for systems up to 10 qubits.
- We applied our witnesses for an experiment aiming to observe a six-qubit symmetric Dicke state.
- For more details, see our papers:
- Witnesses:

GT, W. Wieczorek, R. Krischek, N. Kiesel, P. Michelberger, and H. Weinfurter, New J. Phys. **11**, 083002 (2009)

• Experiment:

W. Wieczorek, R. Krischek, N. Kiesel, P. Michelberger, GT, and H. Weinfurter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 020504 (2009).

Talk by W. WIECZOREK Q52.5 Thursday 15:00