Activating hidden metrological usefulness

G. Tóth[1,2], T. Vértesi[3], P. Horodecki[4,5], R. Horodecki[6,5]

[1]Department of Theoretical Physics, U. of the Basque Country UPV/EHU and IKERBASQUE, Bilbao and DIPC, San Sebastián, Spain
[2]Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
[3]Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary
[4]International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland
[5]Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, National Quantum Information Centre, Gdańsk University of Technology, Poland
[6]Inst. of Th. Phys. and Astrophysics, National Quantum Information Centre, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Univ. of Gdańsk

Introduction

▶ It has been realized that entanglement can be a useful resource in very general metrological tasks. Even bound entangled states can be more useful than separable states [1,2]. Such states are called "useful" in short. However, there are highly entangled states that are not useful for metrology [3].

▶ In the spirit of Ref. [4], we show that some bipartite entangled quantum states that are not useful in linear interferometers become useful if several copies are considered or ancillas are added [5].

▶ To support our claims, we present a general method to find the *local* Hamiltonian for which a given bipartite quantum state provides the largest gain compared to separable states. Note that this task is different, and in a sense more complex, than maximizing the quantum Fisher information [5].

Quantum Fisher information

▶ A basic metrological task in a *linear* interferometer is estimating the small angle θ for a unitary dynamics $U_\theta = \exp(-i\mathcal{H}\theta)$, where the Hamiltonian is the sum of *local* terms. For bipartite systems it is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2, \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_n$ are single-subsystem operators.

▶ Cramér-Rao bound:

$$(\Delta\theta)^2 \geq \frac{1}{m\mathcal{F}_Q[\rho,\mathcal{H}]}, \qquad (2)$$

where m is the number of indepedendent repetitions, and the quantum Fisher information is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\rho,\mathcal{H}] = 2\sum_{k,l}\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_l)^2}{\lambda_k+\lambda_l}|\langle k|\mathcal{H}|l\rangle|^2. \qquad (3)$$

Here, λ_k and $|k\rangle$ are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively, of the density matrix ρ, which is used as a probe state for estimating θ.

Metrological gain

▶ We define the metrological gain compared to separable states, for a given Hamiltonian, by [5]

$$g_\mathcal{H}(\rho) = \mathcal{F}_Q[\rho,\mathcal{H}]/\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\mathrm{sep})}(\mathcal{H}), \qquad (4)$$

where the separable limit for local Hamiltonians is

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\mathrm{sep})}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=1,2}[\sigma_{\max}(\mathcal{H}_n)-\sigma_{\min}(\mathcal{H}_n)]^2. \qquad (5)$$

▶ We are interested in the quantity [5]

$$g(\rho) = \max_{\mathrm{local}\,\mathcal{H}} g_\mathcal{H}(\rho), \qquad (6)$$

where a local Hamiltonian is just the sum of single system Hamiltonians as in Eq. (1).

▶ The maximization task looks challenging since we have to maximize a fraction, where both the numerator and the denominator depend on the Hamiltonian.

Ancilla

▶ For the 3×3-case, we consider the maximally entangled state mixed with noise

$$\rho_{AB}^{(p)} = (1-p)|\Psi^{(\mathrm{me})}\rangle\langle\Psi^{(\mathrm{me})}| + p\mathbb{1}/d^2, \qquad (7)$$

which is useful if $p < 0.3655$.

▶ If a pure ancilla qubit is added [5]

$$\rho^{(\mathrm{anc})} = |0\rangle\langle0|_a \otimes \rho_{AB}^{(p)}. \qquad \boxed{a}\;\boxed{A}\;\boxed{B}$$

then the state is useful if $p < 0.3752$.

▶ The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\mathrm{anc})} = 1.2 C_{aA}\otimes\mathbb{1}_B + \mathbb{1}_{aA}\otimes D_B, \qquad (8)$$

where

$$C_{aA} = \frac{9}{20}(2\sigma_x+\sigma_z)_a\otimes|0\rangle\langle0|_a$$
$$+ \mathbb{1}_a\otimes(|2\rangle\langle2|_a-|1\rangle\langle1|_a),$$

$$D = \mathrm{diag}(+1,-1,+1). \qquad (9)$$

Two copies

▶ We consider now two copies of the noisy 3×3 maximally entangled state [5]

$$\rho^{(\mathrm{tc})} = \rho_{AB}^{(p)}\otimes\rho_{A'B'}^{(p)}.$$

$$\boxed{A}\;\boxed{B}$$
$$\boxed{A'}\;\boxed{B'}$$

▶ Then, with the two-copy operator

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\mathrm{tc})} = D_A\otimes D_{A'}\otimes\mathbb{1}_{BB'} + \mathbb{1}_{AA'}\otimes D_B\otimes D_{B'}, \qquad (10)$$

the state is useful if $p < 0.4164$.

▶ The Hamiltonians presented are not the optimal ones.

▶ Let us look for the optimal Hamiltonians, for which $g_\mathcal{H}$ is the largest.

Optimal Hamiltonian

▶ Instead of the quantum Fisher information, let us consider the error propagation formula

$$(\Delta\theta)_M^2 = \frac{(\Delta M)^2}{\langle i[M,\mathcal{H}]\rangle^2}, \qquad (11)$$

which provides a bound on the quantum Fisher information

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\rho,\mathcal{H}] \geq 1/(\Delta\theta)_M^2. \qquad (12)$$

▶ We will minimize Eq. (11) using the idea [4]

$$\max_\mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}_Q[\rho,\mathcal{H}] = \max_{\mathcal{H},M}\frac{\langle i[M,\mathcal{H}]\rangle^2}{(\Delta M)^2}. \qquad (13)$$

Based on these, we realize a see-saw, optimizing alternatingly over $\mathcal{H}$ and M.

	Analytic example	Numerics
Ancilla	0.3752	0.3941
Second copy	0.4164	0.4170

See-saw iteration

Random operator → M → maximize over $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2$ → $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ → maximize over M

Pure states

▶ General case, pure state with a Schmidt decomposition

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{s}\sigma_k|k\rangle_a|k\rangle_B,$$

where s is the Schmidt number, and the real positive σ_k Schmidt coefficients are in a descending order.

▶ Direct calculation yields [4]

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[|\Psi\rangle,\mathcal{H}_{AB}] = 4(\Delta\mathcal{H}_{AB})_\Psi^2$$
$$= 8\sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots,\bar{s}-1}(\sigma_n+\sigma_{n+1})^2,$$

which is larger than the separable bound, $\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\mathrm{sep})} = 8$, whenever the Schmidt rank is larger than 1. Here, $\bar{s}$ is the largest even number for which $\bar{s}\leq s$. (For the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$, see Ref. [5].)

▶ In the limit of infinite copies, all entangled bipartite pure states are maximally useful [5].

Related bibliography

[1] G. Tóth, T. Vértesi, Quantum states with a positive partial transpose are useful for metrology, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 020506 (2018). (open access)

[2] K. F. Pál, G. Tóth, E. Bene, T. Vértesi, Bound entangled singlet-like states for quantum metrology, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 023101 (2021). (open access)

[3] P. Hyllus, O. Gühne, and A. Smerzi, Not all pure entangled states are useful for sub-shot-noise interferometry, Phys. Rev. A 82, 012337 (2010).

[4] P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Bound Entanglement can be Activated, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1056 (1999).

[5] G. Tóth, T. Vértesi, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Activating hidden metrological usefulness, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 020402 (2020). (open access)

For more details, please see www.gtoth.eu.